## **REMARKS**

In the Official Action of April 20, 2004 the finality of the previous Office Actions were withdrawn by the Examiner, which applicant understands to include the withdrawal of all outstanding rejections. A restriction requirement was made between two groups of Claims by the Examiner, Claims 1 to 10 and 20 to 22 were identified as one group, while Claims 11-19 were identified as another group. Claim 20 inadvertently depends from Claim 9, but was intended to depend from Claim 19 and is accordingly part of Group II. Correction is made in the foregoing amendment to the claims.

Applicant hereby elects Group I, Claims 1 to 10 and 21 to 22 with traverse for prosecution in this application.

Applicant hereby elects the species of **Figures 1-6**, the only embodiment of the invention disclosed in the application. Claims 1 to 10 and 21-22 are readable on all six **Figures**.

Claims 11-19, the non elected Claims, read on Figures 1-4, only.

The restriction requirement is contrary to MPEP §806.03 and is traversed for that reason. Claims readable on the same disclosed embodiment are usually permitted. In this case only one embodiment is illustrated, but claimed with Claims of different scope. Group I is a patentably distinct subcombination of Group II; however, both claims are readable on like embodiments of the invention.

This response is believed timely. If for any reason an *Extension of Time* is required please consider this a *Petition* therefor and charge Deposit Account No. 50-0935.

If for any reason the Examiner would like to discuss this case, the Examiner is invited to call at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

por ferrell

Michael W. Ferrell Reg. No. 31,158

Attorney for Applicant

Ferrells, PLLC P.O. Box 312 Clifton, Virginia 20124-1706 Telephone: 703-968-8600

Facsimile: 703-968-5500

April 23, 2004